

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

,	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/707,186 11/25/2003		Richard Liddy	81087759	1185	
	28395 7590 12/19/2006 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL			EXAMINER		
	1000 TOWN CENTER 22ND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075-1238		•	MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		, 1111 10070 1250		. 2113		
	•	•				
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/707,186	LIDDY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Maskulinski	2113		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Michael C. Maskulinski	2113	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		_	
 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing da	g date of the final rejection of the final rejection of the sparoprial of the fee. The approprial inally set in the final Office.	on. ILED WITHIN Ite extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause ,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a one NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	•		,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·		,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (٨	
13. Other: <u>see attached Status of Claims</u> .		muhael Brisk	edinski.
		Michael C Maskulin Examiner	ski .

Art Unit: 2113

Art Unit: 2113

Status of Application

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1, 2, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chandler et al., US 2004/0256718 A1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chandler et al., US 2004/0256718 A1, and further in view of <u>Advanced Failure Modes</u> and <u>Effects Analysis of Complex Processes</u>, by Kmenta et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 5-15, 18, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claim 16 is allowed.

Response to Amendment

- 5. The Affidavit filed on December 1, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Chandler et al. reference.
- 6. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish diligence from a date prior to the date of reduction to practice of the Chandler et al. reference to either a constructive reduction to practice or an actual reduction to practice. The period between June 18, 2003 and July 5, 2003 is unaccounted for by the Affidavit. The Applicants' statement that the invention was diligently reduced to practice is not sufficient, but rather evidence accounting for the Applicants work during that period is required

Art Unit: 2113

- 7. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a conception of the invention prior to the effective date of the Chandler et al. reference. While conception is the mental part of the inventive act, it must be capable of proof, such as by demonstrative evidence or by a complete disclosure to another. Conception is more than a vague idea of how to solve a problem. The requisite means themselves and their interaction must also be comprehended. See *Mergenthaler v. Scudder*, 1897 C.D. 724, 81 O.G. 1417 (D.C. Cir. 1897). In the e-mail dated March 17, 2003, there is no evidence that the Applicants conceived of the claimed invention—specifically the Graphical User Interface and visual display. In fact, it appears that the only evidence of conception is best summed up as "[NEED TO EXPLAIN HOW]".
- 8. The Examiner made it very clear in the last Office Action that a proper Affidavit would include showing diligence for the entire period between June 18, 2003 and July 5, 2003. The Applicants have not done this and any new amendments filed will not be considered since they are not seasonably presented

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Maskulinski whose telephone number is 571-272-3649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 571-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C Maskulinski

Brichael Markelinel.

Examiner Art Unit 2113